



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/885,811	06/19/2001	Richard W.D. Booth	034942-245	4376

7590 01/10/2006

Robert E. Krebs  
Thelen, Reid & Priest, LLP  
P.O. Box 640640  
San Jose, CA 95164-0640

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, DUNG X

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2638

DATE MAILED: 01/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Interview Summary

Application No.

09/885,811

Applicant(s)

BOOTH ET AL.

Examiner

Dung X. Nguyen

Art Unit

2638

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Vanderpuye Kenneth N.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Davis Gilmer.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 12 December 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 3, 5, 6, and 7.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Regarding claim 1, The objection to claim 1 will be withdrawn. Regarding claims 3, 5, 6, and 7. It was appeared that Huttunen (US patent application publication # 2002/0016154 A1) does not teach the limitations set forth in the above mentioned claims, hence the rejection will be withdrawn.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Dung X. Nguyen  
Examiner's signature, if required